



Muhammad Niswar <niswar@unhas.ac.id>

[JSI] Editor Decision

2 messages

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani <jsi@cs.ui.ac.id>

Wed, Apr 13, 2022 at 3:30 PM

To: Andrisasmita Rachmat <andrisasmita.uh@gmail.com>, Baharuddin Hamzah <baharsyah@yahoo.com>, Muhammad Niswar <niswar@unhas.ac.id>

Dear Andrisasmita Rachmat, Baharuddin Hamzah, Muhammad Niswar:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Sistem Informasi, "Evaluation of Academic Information System Using Delone and Mclane Success Model: A Case Study ff Academic Information System Hasanuddin University".

Our decision is to: Accept

Our reviewers have now considered your paper and have recommended publication in Jurnal Sistem Informasi. We are pleased to accept your paper in its current form which will now be forwarded to the publisher for copy editing and production. The reviewers' comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

We would like to requests for Microsoft Word file for copy editing purpose. Please send the Microsoft Word file as soon as possible. Thank you for your contribution to Jurnal Sistem Informasi and we look forward to receiving further submissions from you.

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani

jsi@cs.ui.ac.id

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

1. Writing and organization

Good

2. Topic discussed are new (up-to-date)

Good

3. Relevance to JSI topic coverage.

Excellent

4. Having a contribution to research on the topic.

Good

5. Inclusion of a theoretical framework (if needed).

Poor

6. Literature review shows a clear link between the problem and the existing literature.

Poor

7. Appropriateness of research design and methodology.

Poor

8. Accurate analysis and appropriate interpretation.

N/A

9. Conclusions in accordance with recommendations and / or implications for related fields.

N/A

10. Strength of the manuscript

This research has topics that are relevant to JSI.
Writing is well structured, data analysis techniques have been implemented properly.

11. Weakness of the manuscript

- In the introduction, the analysis of previous research is limited. To explain research gaps, Authors need to explain and analyze research that uses the same method and theory or with different methods and how it is implemented in that context.
- In the literature review, the author needs to explain the theory of the IS Success Model, implementation in a more universal scope, not only from Indonesia.
- Authors need to explain (in the table) the Variables and their definitions and references.
- The author needs to explain how these variables were developed and implemented in the context of this research, and various studies that have used this model.
- The method of data collection needs to be explained, how the questionnaire was distributed, how much data was collected, and the demographics of the respondents.
- Why is there no research discussion?
- Why is there no research implication?
- Authors need to explain the limitations of the research, recommendations for further research.

12. Choose one of the following recommendations:

Major revision required

13. Other Comments:

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Writing and organization

Good

2. Topic discussed are new (up-to-date)

Good

3. Relevance to JSI topic coverage.

Good

4. Having a contribution to research on the topic.

Poor

5. Inclusion of a theoretical framework (if needed).

Poor

6. Literature review shows a clear link between the problem and the existing literature.

Poor

7. Appropriateness of research design and methodology.

Poor

8. Accurate analysis and appropriate interpretation.

Poor

9. Conclusions in accordance with recommendations and / or implications for related fields.

Poor

10. Strength of the manuscript

This research approach is a case study that may need to be carried out in the company, so that if it is appointed as a research topic, there is a perspective that needs to be adjusted, namely by adding the latest similar research literature studies.

11. Weakness of the manuscript

Very minimal analysis, from problem analysis, model, and factor selection, to analysis of research results.

The references used are very old, and most of them are not from reputable international journals.

12. Choose one of the following recommendations:

Major revision required

13. Other Comments:

Check the comments on the paper

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani

Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Information System
Faculty of Computer Science
Universitas Indonesia

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani <jsi@cs.ui.ac.id>

Wed, Apr 13, 2022 at 3:31 PM

To: Andrisasmita Rachmat <andrisasmita.uh@gmail.com>, Baharuddin Hamzah <baharsyah@yahoo.com>, Muhammad Niswar <niswar@unhas.ac.id>

Dear Andrisasmita Rachmat, Baharuddin Hamzah, Muhammad Niswar:

The editing of your submission, "Evaluation of Academic Information System Using Delone and Mclane Success Model: A Case Study ff Academic Information System Hasanuddin University," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://jsi.cs.ui.ac.id/index.php/jsi/authorDashboard/submission/1114>

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani
jsi@cs.ui.ac.id

[Quoted text hidden]